IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 15796 of 2004

Between:

M.Srinivasa Rao S/o. M.Ramarao
R/o. Rayavaram (M) Nadurubada (V)

Ramachandrapuram A.P. ..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Deputy Commissioner of Endowments Govt. of A.P.Hyderabad.
2 The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments Govt. of A.P. Rajahmundry.
3 The Executive Officer Sri Venugopala Swamy Vary Devasthanam Nadurubada (V), Rayavaram (M), Ramachandrapuram.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ or Mandamus or any appropriate writ order or direction declaring the proposed action of the Respondents in not confirming the auction in Sy.No.192, measuring : Acs.3.60 Cents held on 1-7-2004, belonging to III red respondent Devasthanam in favour of the petitioner, being the highest bidder by considering the representation on 1-7-2004, 13-7-2004 and 14.8.2004 for order directing the respondents to confirm the auction held on 1-7-2004 ie., Sri Venugopala Swamy varidevasthanam, Nadurubada, Rayavaram (M) Ramachandrapuram A.P..

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.CH.DHANAMJAYA

Counsel for Respondents 1&2 : G.P. FOR ENDOWMENTS

Counsel for Respondent No.3 : Smt.N.INDRANI

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Questioning the proposed action of the Respondents in not confirming the auction in Sy.No.192, measuring : Acs.3.60 Cents held on 1-7-2004, belonging to 3rd respondent Devasthanam in favour of the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner, the learned Government Pleader for Endowments and the learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.3-Temple.

It is represented by the learned Standing Counsel for 3rd respondent-Temple that during the pendency of the writ petition, the impugned auction knocked down in favour of petitioner was cancelled and re-auction was conducted and the amount of Rs.57,600/- which represents the value of 96 bags of paddy deposited by the petitioner was refunded to him, and therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in this writ petition and it has become infructuous.

Recording the above submission made by the learned Standing Counsel, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 21.4.2005

DA/SVV

To

1 The Deputy Commissioner of Endowments Govt. of A.P.Hyderabad.
2 The Assistant Commissioner of Endowments Govt. of A.P. Rajahmundry.
3 The Executive Officer Sri Venugopala Swamy Vary Devasthanam Nadurubada (V), Rayavaram (M), Ramachandrapuram.

4 Two CCs to the G.P. for Endowments, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies.